#### DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES



BRIAN SCHWEITZER GOVERNOR JOAN MILES DIRECTOR

### STATE OF MONTANA

www.dphhs.mt.gov

DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES PROGRAM 201 First Street South Suite 3 Great Falls MT 59405 (406) 454-6085 FAX (406) 454-6082

May 14, 2008

TO:

Louie King, CEO

Sandy Hodgkiss, Board Chair

FROM:

Lori Wertz, Quality Improvement Specialist

SUBJECT:

Comprehensive Evaluation for FY 08

Enclosed is the Quality Assurance Evaluation for FY 08. It encompasses the contracted services of residential habilitation (group homes and supported living), day habilitation and community supports (one enrolled consumer who purchases day habilitation services). As in the last review, the table shows the areas of review with any pertinent findings noted in the comment sections, followed-up with quality assurance observation sheets and appropriate appendices. As a result of this review, there are five follow-up issues which require your attention with responses due for four of them by June 2, 2008. The fifth one is a statewide concern involving data collection under the rates system. The target date for that observation sheet is set to coincide with the statewide training effort that is intended to give us all some guidance in this all important area of concern.

Your agency has once again proven its commitment to the Choteau family of consumers and staff. That your Board is involved with the management, staff and consumers at a personal level only seems to strengthen the bonds of that family network. Policies for background checks, hiring/retention, staff longevity and excessive travel show that you have not only a consistent standard and expectation for staff, but that you highly value direct care staff as members of your team. Additionally, your willingness to creatively provide the supports that a person needs and wants, not just the services that that will get them by, is clearly evidenced in the fact the GS, DE, MW and MH are living and working in your community. The heartfelt outreach of the community during GS's illness, the fact that the agency was determined to hold his vacancy and secure additional funding for him in order to facilitate his return, was no easy feat and not necessarily the choice other agencies might have made.

Based on my interactions with your agency this past year, as well as the results of this evaluation, it is my opinion that Choteau Activities provides a level of excellence in services that is built out of genuine care and concern for the folks living in your community. Your staff's dedication and willingness to meet the challenges that face them is apparent in the services provided and they should be proud of the standard they have set.

Our office will be re-assigning staff effective June 15, 2008. Your new Quality Improvement Specialist will be Joe Davidson whom I am certain will be a great addition to your service family. It has been my distinct pleasure to serve your agency and you have my heartfelt appreciation for your honesty, integrity and commitment to the people we serve.

Agency: Choteau Activities Evaluators: Wertz

DESK REVIEW: **	Appendix or QAOS
occreditation: Accreditation is no longer required by the state contract.	
lignificant Events from the Agency:	QAOS 0408-01
Rennai water heaters were installed in both group homes this year (Mtn View was awaiting theirs the same week as this onsite review), the Main GH was opened, its basement remodeled and its license was expanded this year to accommodate an SL consumer whose medical needs significantly changed. The agency and its BOD are to be commended for holding a vacancy for this young man as there was a lengthy period of time in which we weren't sure he would be able to return to Choteau. A safety fence was installed at the day program and at Mtn View to help keep DE safe.	
There was a consumer wedding this year (congratulations to the newlyweds!). Two consumers joined the agency (one from MDC and one with enhanced funding from DDP as a crisis placement). Total consumers served is 24 (includes community supports) with notation that this number exceeds the agency's target in their planning process. There has been no consumer turnover through exit or attrition this year, so no vacancies were screened. It needs to be noted that this was due in great part to the agency's willingness to accommodate consumer needs (MH had monies moved from day where he experienced behaviors that threatened his placement, to residential where his needs could be met, GS has had significant medical issues that would have garnered exit in most agencies, but CAI chose to keep his vacancy and work with medical folks to ensure his needs could be met). There is one unfunded bed available to the supported living facility that may be filled at the agency's discretion.	
Agency Internal Communications Systems:  Agency communication remains a strength both internally and with regard to other stakeholders. This was a strength as reported on the staff surveys as wellthat the agency promotes team work and input from staff, that staff believe their ideas and opinions are appreciated. The agency has a strong bond with the Board of Directors, with Board members having ongoing and regular contact with the staff, consumers and various sites.	QAOS0408-2
Policies and Administrative (DDP) Directives	
Review of policies has shown the agency's policies to be in compliance with State contract and ARM. Two new policies this year were geared toward maintaining and rewarding staff. The longevity policy rewards continuous satisfactory performance of employees by offering \$50, \$100, \$150 and \$200 bonuses beginning at 5 years and at each additional 5 year increment. An excessive travel policy gives a stipend to employees who travel more than 20 miles to work (\$50/mo for 20-30 miles, \$75/mo for 30-50 miles, and \$100/mo for employees living more than 50 miles from Choteau).	Арр А
Medicaid transportation: although long medical trips are being prior authorized and billed to Medicaid, it does not appear that the agency is directly billing Medicaid for monthly medical mileage of 20 miles or more per the policy attached in App. B	QAOS 0408-03 App A
The agency has 100% compliance and completion of the required components in the College of Direct Supports—a newly implemented state policy this year.	''

Agency: Choteau Evaluators:

Wertz

DESK REVIEW: **	Appendix or QAOS
Fiscal (audits, cost plans, invoices):  Auditors were on site at the same time of this evaluation. That full report will follow. Initial correspondence of indicates no significant findings that would require follow-up to this report although there were ten recommer audit bureau felt would be of benefit to the agency. The draft is with the CEO for review and consultation will Recommendations dealt with the allocation of expenses out to all departments to get a more accurate cost a also noted that the auditors completed an analysis of the first six months of FY 2008 due to the many change analysis was not part of the final report, but did show the following: Day Services has lost (\$0.24) per unit of positive \$4.64 in FY 2007), the Group Homes have made \$10.79 per unit of service given (versus a negative Supported Living has made \$1.45 per unit of service given (versus a positive \$13.83 in FY 2007).  In the meantime, a review of staff hours against billed ICP units at the DDP regional office found the following Mtn View: 520.92 hrs/wk per ICP, staff schedules show 531.25 hrs/wk, exceeds target of ICP WAC: 309.71 hrs/wk per ICPS, staff hours average 312.75, slightly higher than what is paid by ICP Main Ave: 190 hrs/wk, staff ave schedule is 201.81 hrs/wk, exceeds target of ICP TLC: 113.77 (xc GS) per week, weekly staff schedules show 127.83 hrs/wk and exceed this average The sample was reviewed for April 08 but indicates well staffed sites with consumer needs being adequately individual cost plans. My numbers may vary slightly from those of the agency due to client movement and a full time equivalents further verify that there are adequate staff hired to account for the hours being billed to a that the agency routinely turns in staffing schedules and a payment analysis with each billing cycle so that e terms of which staff worked any given site and for how many hours. The April sample is considered typical of documented throughout this fiscal year.	dations for the agency that the the agency accountant. Inalysis of all programs. It was as going on at CAI. This service given (versus a (\$13.76) in FY 2007) and the  g consumer cost plans total:  This by ICP In met based upon their veraging factors. Employee the State. Please also note each month is transparent in

#### Licensing:

Main GH: Fire Marshall reviewed the site modifications on 4/23/08--asked that some paint in the locked cabinet be removed to the garage (verified as complete at on site visit), a second smoke detector was requested to be added to the men's basement room as well as an extra smoke detector in the common area of the basement (verified on site). Sanitation report for Main Street: water temp slightly up (was under 120 during site visit), floor was replaced at Main kitchen was replaced prior to folks moving in, laundry area needed a utility sink which was installed before the folks moved in, comment was made that few areas of bare wood needed finishing (window at kitch, and above microwave--verified as complete during site visit). 2/12/08--letter from licensing to increase license from 4 to 6 persons at Main GH. Mt View: Sanitation, commented new flooring to be installed (wood laminate--was verified on site visit) was about to be installed, needed paper towels or single use towels in laundry area (verified on site), needed to fix closures on linen closet north bathroom (verified on site). No Fire Marshall issues were noted for the day program, TLC or Mtn View GH.

App C

Agency: Evaluators: Choteau Wertz

Evaluators:	wertz	4/20/2006	
DESK REVIEW:			Appendix or QAOS
Quality Assurance (	Observation Sheets: (tre	ends from past year)	
		ce observation sheets for this agency in the past year. In fact, observation sheets creative and careful approaches to services.	
Medication Errors:	(trending from past year	r)	
medication errors t listed as 'staff error medications). Incid approach to preven	hroughout that one year pass' (generally comprised of dent management notes for thing future occurrences. A	end analysis from July 1, 2008 to March 2008. Note that the agency had a total of 21 period, reflecting an overall decrease of 11 errors, this year. The majority of them are of documentation errors and med count errors) or 'family errors' (family forgot to give or the last year showed immediate steps to correct any med error and a proactive Again this year, no medication errors were linked to consumer harm and in some cases, the medication ever reaching the consumer (errors actually occurred at the pharmacy	App D
Incident Manageme	nt: (summary trends, ste	eps to address trends, investigation summmaries)	
very proactive in id according to the tre into the data base a abuse, neglect or e on the results of the aspectand further (as reported initially	entifying potential trends a end reports. It is noted tha at the end of each month, exploitation), then IMC will e investigations or follow b policy review was reques	and analysis from July 1, 2008 to March 30, 2008. The agency IMC meets weekly and is and instituting safeguards for consumers. There was 1 documented allegation of abuse at when an allegation occurs, the agency quickly investigates. The agency enters data and it appears that if the incident is determined to be an injury or other cause (not recode the incident to the appropriate and corrected code for tracking purposes based by the IMC coordinator to determine further facts. The IMC policy is not clear in this sted by the QIS to determine whether the data base should be recorded at 'face value' e resultant follow-up. It is requested that the agency log the incidents as initially reported	l Who D
•			QAOS 0408-5

Agency:

Choteau

4/28/2008

Evaluators:

	**										Appendix
Staff Relat		Tualmina (m	and trans id	invacant !	no' if not no	rocont)					or QAOS
Evidence	Found of Orientation staff initials	BLV	SM	SB	AA	CL	1				1
	yes/no	ves	ves	ves	ves	yes				<u> </u>	<b>t</b>
Note wher	re evidence found:	lyes	yes	lyes	lyes	lyco				<u> </u>	1
	files, staff training recor	ds. DDOT r	ecords								
	Found DDCPT or equ										
	staff initials	BLV	SM	SB	AA	CL					1
	yes/no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes					]
Note wher	re evidence found:					1.0					
intensive d	esignation does not ap	ply under ra	tes system	but training	is noted for	DDOT and	l internal cor	sumer spe	cifics		
Evidence	of Criminal Backgrou					_					
	staff initials	BLV	SM	SB	AA	CL					]
	yes/no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	<u>L</u>				] '
	re evidence found:	_									
	files, staff training re	cords, age	ncy emplo	yment app	lication						
Evidence	of Staff Survey:	T		1		1	<u></u>		ľ	r	4
	staff initials										-
	yes/no	see below			1						
	re evidence found:										
	files, staff training reco										]
Comment	s: (regarding staff hir	ing, screen	ing, trainin	g, supervi	sion)						
Staff sur	veys were done anonyr	nously this y	ear and we	ere generall	y very positi	ve. Two qu	estions stoc	d out for fu	rther review	<i>r</i> :	
whether	staff believed they were	all treated	fairly, and t	hat staff be	lieved they	were not pa	id enough. I	It is noted th	nat the wag	es	
offered a	it CAI are considered o	ommensura	te or even l	nigh for this	community	. The agen	cy is revamp	oing the nex	t staff surve	∋y to	
allow sta	ff an opportunity to exp	lain of why t	they feel thi	s way in ord	der that they	may more	thoroughly a	iddress any	concerns	staff	ļ.
might ha	ve.										
			•								

Agency: Evaluators:

Choteau

4/28/2008

Staff Relat	ted: **								Appendix or QAOS
Evidence	Found of Staff Traini	ng: (mark '	X' if preser	t, 'no' if no	t present)				
	staff initials	SB	SM	AA	BLV	CL			
	1st aid/CPR	2/19/2009	2010	Aug-10	12/13/2009	2/00/2010			
	CPR	00/2010	2010	Jul-10	12/13/2008	12/12/2009			
	Abuse Prevention	XX	Х	Х	х	x		 	
	Client Rights	х	x	Х	x	x			
	Incident Reporting	Х	х	Х	х	x			ļ
	Confidentiality	х	х	Х	х	x			
	IP/PSP Process	x	х	Х	x	x			
	Medication Cert	х	х	x	х	x			
persor	re evidence found: nnel files, staff training denced in files, dates ir					t reports			
Comments MANDT wa	s: as expired for several s	staffdates	of expiration	n were rece	nt (3/29 to 4/	/19)			QAOS0804-06

Choteau Activities

Wertz 4/28/2008

Actions per PSP walk slowly and carefully	Evidence support provided consistently?									
walk slowly and carefully										
	observed onsite, and during visits this PSP cycle, also by IR									
use an apron when eating	observed onsite and in protocol									
bowling one time per week from March until the end of 'games'	data by check chart									
eat out one time per month	data by check chart									
quarterly shopping in Great Falls	data by check chart and house notes/data sheet notes									
shred paper weekly	data by check chart									
work at thrift store 2x week	data by check chart									
swimming 2x weekly	data by check chart									
2x weekly, walk to post office	data by check chart (and sometimes incident report!)									
Protocols:	Evidence staff clearly understood were able to implement protocol?									
PRN protocol	yes, based on staff interview									
interaction protocol	observed during sites visits this PSP cycle									
eating protocol	observed on site									
seizure protocol	yes, based on staff interview									
	îi .									

#### **Choteau Activities**

Wertz 4/28

consumer: ** Hours per ICP:							
Actions per PSP	Evidence support provided consistently?						
speech exercises daily	data by check list						
exercise 3x week at wac	data by check list						
exercise 3x week at gh	data by check list						
help get mail 3x week	data by check list						
walk at gh 15 min 3x week	data by check list						
purchase weight set and punching bag2/28/08	did not review this item						
offered chores 3x week	data by check list						
clean room weekly	data by check list						
assist with cooking weekly	data by check list						
staff will help me get clean clothing prior to shower	data by check list						
put dirty clothes in hamper	data by check list						
monitor health, schedule, transport to appts as necessary	data by medical report, transportation logs						
spend time with Joe Bear one x month	did not review data related to this item						
interact with my friends 3x week	noted by observation						
complete two chores per week at wac	data by checklist						
try shredding one time per week at wac	data by checklist						
buy a dvd player when I have saved enough	is still saving money						
spend time with Joe Bear at WAC	data by checklist						
call family monthly	data by checklist						
eat out once per month	data by checklist						
email family monthly	data by checklist						
mail family monthly	data by checklist						
Protocols:	Evidence staff clearly understood were able to implement protocol?						
speech protocol	observed in client interactions						
prn protocols	was not reviewed						
weight protocol	noted by weight charts, meal prep						

#### **Choteau Activities**

Wertz

consumer: ** Hours per ICP: 137/49								
Actions per PSP	Evidence support provided consistently?							
research art classes by July 07	data on IPP sheet with receipts and notes attached							
trip to Michaels for supplies by July 16 07	data on IPP sheet with receipts and notes attached							
visit the community art studio by Aug 1 07	data on IPP sheet with receipts and notes attached							
visit CM Russell art studio Aug 1	data on IPP sheet with receipts and notes attached							
explore face painting by Sept 1	data on IPP sheet with receipts and notes attached							
be prompted to use my art supplies 2x week	noted in quarterly reports							
Janet will update geo programs on computer at wac	items purchased, receipts avail							
visit Lewis and Clark Center with friends	data on IPP sheet with notes attached							
visit local museums	data on IPP sheet with notes attached							
will be encouraged to research topics of interest	no data on most recent quarterly							
subscribe to American Heritage magazine	notation that magazine was DC'd, other options offered but not accept							
purchase a trivia game	9/1/2007							
check costs to Mt Rushmore	itinerary to PSP team							
make a travel plan	itinerary to PSP team							
save money for trip	itinerary to PSP team							
take the trip	itinerary to PSP teamTrip scheduled for spring 2008							
visit churches, set meetings with leader, choose church to attend	documented as refused							
attend church	refused							
increase work prod 20%	generally no progress							
prompt me to work to make money	quarterly report/data							
work daily	attendance logs							
check into dishwashing opp in Choteau by 9/1	reported as 'none available'							
graph pay day monies	2x/mo per data/quarterlies							
dinner at main gh one time per week	did not review this information 9/17/07 was the move date							
pick paint and décor of my room	observed in new home 8/17/07 colors chosen							
choose a date to move	observed in new home 9/17/2007							
move to main gh	observed in new home 9/17/2007							
physical activity of y choice 2x week wac	quarterlies and onsite data							
physical activity of y choice 2x week gh	quarterlies and onsite data							
brush teeth after meals and snacks	quarterlies and onsite data							
medical appts and transportation as necessary	medical appts records and transportation logs were available							
monitoring and picking up meds	meds were available							
encouraged to consume fruits/veggies, esp leafy greens	quarterlies and onsite data							

	are in process, some items are difficult to measure given their nature (such as
prompting someone to ea	at vegetables or prompting them to use are supplies or make money.
pathing protocol	totally self sufficient, some prompts to remember hygiene supplies, check by knock
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	door every few minutes to be sure he is ok, showers not bathes
seizure protocol	silent seizures, absence,,yell for staff to time, wait and monitor, ask how he is feel
	Help him lay down, check continuously
-	
· ·	

**Choteau Activities** 

Wertz 4/28/2008

consumer: ** Hours per ICP: CSP	This CSP plan buys day services								
Actions per PSP	Evidence support provided consistently?								
walks in the summer months	continued this cycle, data at WAC								
shoot baskets in nice weather, 2x/wk, 15 mintues each time	checklist for participation								
weekly exercise	checklist for participation								
speech therapy appt made	completed per quarterly								
transportation to my speech appt	completed per quarterly								
follow of recommendations by speech therapist	completed per quarterly								
eat out with friends weekly	checklist for participation								
dinner at Main Gh, first Thursday each month	as documented								
Twister, once a month	completed per psp and dcd								
puzzles, games, etcone time per week	checklist for participation, noted in psp								
shred paper as available	checklist for participation, noted in psp								
weekly chore at wac	checklist for participation, noted in psp								
work at FCTS monthly with one of my parents	checklist for participation, noted in psp								

Protocols:	Evidence staff clearly understood were able to implement protocol?
none	

Agency: Evaluators:

Choteau

Wertz

4/28/2008

IP Checkl	ist: check i	if evidenced	GH	GH	SL	CSP				Appendix or QAOS
Consumer	Initials		AC	PS	KG	TSCSP			1	
	Consumer	Consumer/Family Survey		x	х	х				1
	PSP/IP Do	oc Avail to all Staff	х	х	х	x				
n Ş	IPP/Action	s Implemented	х	х	x	x				
7	Data for IF	PP/Actions	Х	x	x	х				
	Data Inter	nally Monitored	х	х	х	х				
T	Self Medic	cation Objective	team ok	team ok	team ok	NA				
7	Consumer	r informed of grievance								]
T:	procedure	}	х	x	x	x		1 .	1	ļ
SL consume	mer choice of SL staff	gh	gh	x	х					
Same?	Rights Re	strictions	Х	na	х	NA				1
	PSP/IP CI	hecklist	х	х	х	х				
C M	PSP/IP Ar	nually?	х	х	х	х				1
M	Individual	Needs Addressed?	х	х	х	х				1
Tr	Assessme	ent Based?	Х	х	×	х				1
Ñ	Quarterly	Reports?	х	x	х	х				1
P.	Incident R	eports Addressed?	no	no	no	no				1
NA U	Behaviora	I Supports Addressed?	Х	х	Х	х				
100	Functiona	l Analysis Needed?	na	na	na	na				]
	Free from	Aversive Procedures?	yes	yes	yes	yes				1

Comments: (regarding service planning and delivery)

An email from the CM Supervisor earlier this year commented that quarterly reports were lagging. This has been addressed and the agency is currently submitting monthly data reports by consumer to the case managers.

Data Collection:

It was also noted that not all the data sheets had the year of service on them. This was corrected in last year's data sheets (to include month, day and year) but the newly developed data sheets did not have the year consistently documented. Additionally, a group of data sheets were miscopied, so even though the data was across days/weeks, the dates showed the same time frame on each sheet. CAI uses the checklist developed by HDAC to document various actions outlined in the PSP. Some data sheets consisted of a summary of the action and notation that any activities toward meeting that action should be written on the sheet or attached to the data sheet. This is a very clean way of documenting that actions and progress have occurred. In other instances, for example with weekly activities such as bowling or swimming, the check-off by that date is the basic documentation. It is not clear if this latter documentation will suffice in the event of an audit. Training on this topic will be offered by the state in June 2008 and may give a better indication of acceptable types of documentation.

QAOS0428-9

A man a maline

QAOS0408-7

Agency:

Choteau

4/28/2008

Evaluators:

Wertz

esidentia	al Site Checklist: check if evidenced or n	 nark data as	appropriate	)					Appendix or QAOS
e Name		day	Mtn View	MAIN	TLC-SL				
	Bathing procedures posted	NA	yes	yes	NA				
2	Clean/Sanitary Environment	yes	yes	yes	yes				
ea	Egress	ok	ok	ok	ok				
a	Hot Water Temps	scald gua	ards at all res	sites, tem	ps all under	120			
Ē	Emergency Assistance	yes	yes	yes	yes				
套	Fire Extinguishers/smoke Detectors	yes	yes	yes	yes				
h	1st Aid/CPR Supplies Accessible/Available	yes	yes	yes	yes				
3	PRN Medications	protocols	found in all s	samples, n	neds locked	appropriate	ely		
S	Medication Procedures	protocols	found in all s	samples, n	ned data app	eared accu	ırate		
Saf	Medication Locked Storage		re locked ap						7
7	Medication Administration Records	med data	appeared a	ccurate, m	eds certifica	tions were o	current		
¥	Staff Ratios or ICP staffing	staff ratio	s reflected co	ost plans a	nd were eve	nly distribut	ted by site	and day	
ē	Awake Overnight Staff	NA	yes	yes	NA				
Ļ	Adequate Supplies	yes	yes	yes	yes				
<b>y</b> /	Storage of Supplies	locked	locked	locked*	T				7
77-4	Free from aversive procedures?	yes	yes	yes	yes				
ñ.	Weekly integrated activities	yes	yes	yes	yes				
D)	House or Site Rules	ŃΑ	*	*	*				
<b>a</b> :	Opp for choice, self determination	ves	ves	ves	yes				1
<b>1</b> ,"	Meal Prep, Mealtime	ŃΑ	yes	yes	ÑΑ		1	1	7
甚	Engagement in Daily Life	yes	yes	yes	yes			1	7
Section 1	Participation in Daily Living Skills	yes	yes	yes	yes		1		7
<b>V</b> i	Daily Leisure Opportunities	yes	yes	yes	yes				7
<b>J</b> j	Staff Trained in Individual Specifics	yes	yes	yes	yes				7

Greens Its reviewed by a dietician with the date signed off by the GH Manager at the time of review. Several consumers noted in their surveys that they 'don't get enough say' in the menus--although it is clear that they have direct input. In follow up with consumers, this appeared to be an issue of immediacy (I want pizza tonight, not Saturday) for those who were willing to offer an opinion. During the remodeling of the downstairs at Main, there was a brief period when laundry soap/supplies were not locked up according to policy. Cabinets have been built as part of the remodel and cleaning items are locked up appropriately. There have been some noted issues with DE creating concerns for neighbors by running away and into their house. A fence has been built to slow him down, and staff are every vigilant in their attempts to keep him in check.

Agency: Choteau 4/28/2008 Evaluators: Wertz

ider	ntial Site Checklist: check if evidenced	٦						Appendi or QAOS
Nan		Mtn View	Main	TLC				
	Driver Orientation Program	×	х	х				
1	Wheelchair tie downs	na	na	na				
و پ	Wheelchair Lift	na	na	na				
1	Driver's Licenses	х	х	×				
i i	Emergency Supplies	х	х	×				
Ž.	Fire Extinguisher	×	х	×				
a,	Transportation Log	х	х	х				
- MI	Scheduled Maintenance Program	×	х	x			i	
	TrainingStaff Doing Maintenance Checks	х	х	х				
groop 	Procedures for Timely Repairs	х	х	х				
j~	MDT inspection on file (MDT vehicles only)	na	na	na			i	
	One consumer continues to have a paid	job to assist	in month	ıly vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	
	One consumer continues to have a paid	job to assist	in month	ıly vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	
A 100	One consumer continues to have a paid	job to assist	in month	ily vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	
		job to assist	in month	ily vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	
of not		job to assist	in month	ily vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	 
A 100		job to assist	in month	ily vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	 
nt nit		job to assist	in month	ily vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	
nt nit		job to assist	in month	ily vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	
nt nit		job to assist	in month	ily vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	
of not		job to assist	in month	ily vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	
5.00		job to assist	in month	ily vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	
A 100		job to assist	in month	ily vehicle de	etailing and	d maint che	ecks.	

Agency: Evaluators:

Choteau

4/28/2008

-ff C	nou obook	if 'mot' A if 'unmot'							Appendix or QAOS
aff Initia		if 'met', 0 if 'unmet'	<sub>AA</sub>	BL	SM	1			 Ur GAOS
		ns are reported to? (APS)	met	<del>-  </del>	- 0111	+		-	†
A.		otify Supervisor first? (NO)	- 11100	MET	met			-	
D,		ake if abuse is discovered?	met	MET	met	<del>                                     </del>	+		 1
Abuse	Comment	ts:							
<b>1</b> 2		heft of gloves, steps to take?	met		met				_
	IP/PSP re	equests Doctors appt		MET	met				
	No jacket	, -25 consumer wants to leave	met	MET					7
å		tts Restriction							1
regis									
		consumer behaviors	NA	NA					
D		onse to behaviors by plan							<u> </u>
4.000	list proact	tive or environmental strategies							
n P	Commen		lauri "	li accer					
e a		nployee wants info	met	MET		_		_	 4
		onsumer information?	l mot	MET	met			_	 -
8.		meet health and safety needs? cy evacuation procedures?	met		met	_			4
Orientation	Commen		1		Imet	-1			
1									QAOS0408

Agency:

Choteau

4/28/2008

Evaluators:

	vey: check if 'met', 0 if 'unmet' with notatio	n of incorrect	anewor						IOFUJALI
nitia		IAA	BLV	SM				T .	or QAO
	describe procedure to assist with meds			met					_
<u> </u>	if med is unavailable?	met			<u> </u>		1		
	if gave wrong med?	met	<u> </u>	met		1			
d d	if moving to a new place or gets new med?	11111							
	requirement to assist with meds?						1		
2	describe PRN or OTC is to be given		MET		<b></b>		1		
al.	what constitutes a med error?		MET				1		
-	Comments:				L	1			
The state of the s				·					
L	steps to avoid power struggles		MET	met	<u> </u>				
ļ	steps to avoid power struggles how to respond to someone who is upset	met	MET	met					
The state of the s	steps to avoid power struggles how to respond to someone who is upset what is you start to lose control? Comments:	met	MET	met					
The second secon	how to respond to someone who is upset what is you start to lose control?								
	how to respond to someone who is upset what is you start to lose control? Comments:								
	how to respond to someone who is upset what is you start to lose control?	met		met					
	how to respond to someone who is upset what is you start to lose control? Comments:  when do you fill out an incident report?	met	MET	met					

Agency: Evaluators:

Choteau

4/28/2008

Staff Initials    Consumer destroying things   MET   met   met	AAA BLV SM  consumer destroying things staff pinches consumer back how do you know a support plan is needed?  Comments:  what is IP/PSP based on? you have an idea for an objective why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would like to do?  Comments:  One unmet question in that the staff did not realize they could communicate ideas for actions, needs, etcdirectly to	taff Initial	s consumer destroying things			1				or QAOS
consumer destroying things staff pinches consumer back how do you know a support plan is needed?  Comments:  What is IP/PSP based on? met you have an idea for an objective UNMET why do assessments? met How do you find out what someone would MET	consumer destroying things staff pinches consumer back how do you know a support plan is needed?  Comments:  what is IP/PSP based on? you have an idea for an objective Why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would like to do?  Comments:  One unmet question in that the staff did not realize they could communicate ideas for actions, needs, etcdirectly to the PSP team vs following an internal chain of command.		consumer destroying things	_	IDLY	ISM	i			
staff pinches consumer back how do you know a support plan is needed?  Comments:  what is IP/PSP based on? you have an idea for an objective why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would  MET  met  UNMET  why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would  MET	staff pinches consumer back how do you know a support plan is needed?  Comments:  what is IP/PSP based on? you have an idea for an objective Why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would like to do?  Comments:  One unmet question in that the staff did not realize they could communicate ideas for actions, needs, etcdirectly to the PSP team vs following an internal chain of command.									_
what is IP/PSP based on? you have an idea for an objective why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would  MET	what is IP/PSP based on? you have an idea for an objective Why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would like to do? Comments: One unmet question in that the staff did not realize they could communicate ideas for actions, needs, etcdirectly to the PSP team vs following an internal chain of command.	h	Istan pinches consumer back		MET					7
what is IP/PSP based on? you have an idea for an objective why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would  MET	what is IP/PSP based on? you have an idea for an objective Why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would like to do? Comments: One unmet question in that the staff did not realize they could communicate ideas for actions, needs, etcdirectly to the PSP team vs following an internal chain of command.	<b>31</b>				met				
what is IP/PSP based on? you have an idea for an objective why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would  MET	what is IP/PSP based on? you have an idea for an objective Why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would like to do? Comments: One unmet question in that the staff did not realize they could communicate ideas for actions, needs, etcdirectly to the PSP team vs following an internal chain of command.	N-CHa	Comments:							
you have an idea for an objective  Why do assessments?  How do you find out what someone would  MET  What is a sidea for an objective  MET	you have an idea for an objective  why do assessments?  How do you find out what someone would like to do?  Comments:  One unmet question in that the staff did not realize they could communicate ideas for actions, needs, etcdirectly to the PSP team vs following an internal chain of command.	74-4-17	what is IP/PSP based on?			lmet		1		+
why do assessments? met  How do you find out what someone would MET	why do assessments? How do you find out what someone would like to do? Comments: One unmet question in that the staff did not realize they could communicate ideas for actions, needs, etcdirectly to the PSP team vs following an internal chain of command.				UNMET	1	1	1	1	7
How do you find out what someone would MET	How do you find out what someone would like to do?  Comments:  One unmet question in that the staff did not realize they could communicate ideas for actions, needs, etcdirectly to the PSP team vs following an internal chain of command.					met				7
Comments: One unmet question in that the staff did not realize they could communicate ideas for actions, needs, etcdirectly to the PSP team vs following an internal chain of command.		11-10/00/0	How do you find out what someone would		MET					
	omments:	SP						. ,	•	

Agency: Choteau

Evaluators: Wertz 4/28/2008

2011220	- Ousstia	nnaire (used by QIS)	Chook if oviden	ood Oif	n, Boldod	augetione a	ro mandaí	on			Appendix or QAOS
sumer		Infaire (useu by Qio)	- Check ii evideni	**	**	**	I III I	l	T		UI QAUS
iourrier		ave nice staff at home	/work?	yes	yes	YES					1
C		e mean to you at home		NO	no	NO	†				1
Sel.	Do you like where you live/work?				ves	YES	1	1			1
D.		ever afraid of anyone?		no	no	no					1
		e hits/hurts you, who		staff	you	refused					1
1		yone talk to you abo		yes	yes	refused			1	ĺ	1
7		get help when you nee		ĺ	ľ						1
		from sta	ff?								1
Ī		from Ca	se Manager?	Ï			İ				1
	Can you	get your own food/drir					1				1
n		e come into your hous		no			1				1
		knocking/permissio									1
e de la constante de la consta	Do staff	ever take things from		no					-		1
ř,		get rides to places you		yes	1						1
1		the places you want to									1
21	Who is your Case Manager?		wayne	wayne	wayne					1	
	Does s/he talk to you about waiver services?			NR	refused				Ì	1	
	Does s/h	ne help you get what	you need?	yes	yes	refused					1
	esponse b	y consumer despite pi state s/he did not war		estion							

Agency:

Choteau

Evaluators: Wertz This form not applicable at this site review

	O., action mains (upped by OIC). Check it suidon.	~~d	Idad aug	stione or	a mandata	PR /			Appendi or QAOS
onsume	er Questionnaire (used by QIS). Check if evidence inticle	Cea. V B	naeu que:	טוטווא מוי	e manuato	1 <b>y</b>			OI WAO
-	Who helps this person and how?						<del>-</del>		1
Øŧ	Are there some staff/peers they like better?		<del></del>	**				-	┪
1 2 2 2	Staff/peers they don't like? Why?	<del>-</del>	-			<u> </u>		+	1
Property of the second	Current needs not being met?							<del>                                     </del>	1
å	Health and Safety related?		-				+	-	1
	Who do you talk to about these concerns?							1	1
1 Lin	Does the person have input to his/her life?						1	-	┪
A is	If you have concerns, who do you talk to?							<del></del>	╡
	are they resolved?								┪
	What are this persons wishes/dreams?								1
	is the plan moving that direction?						<del> </del>	+	1
10	what would make things better?						<u> </u>		1
	does this person ever seem afraid?	*							1
	are you afraid for them?						<del>                                     </del>		1
	Does this person know how or where to								1
	report abuse?								
	who provided that training?								1
1	Who will the individual call or report to?		11						1
<b>4</b> 3	who provided that info?								1
9 B	Does the person have transportation to all								1
	services and places s/he would like to go?								1
t-Ab	who is the person's case manager?								]
A	Does CM help the person access services?								<u> </u>
1	Does the CM explain waiver services?								]
	Does the person understand this info?								]
<b>V</b> y									7
ommen his forn	n was not necessary. Consumers were able to resp	ond for the	emselves.						